

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/073,825	05/07/1998	SUSUMU TSUJIMOTO	0004634P	1276
27557	7590 08/05/2003			
BLANK RO		17	EXAMINER	
	MPSHIRE AVENUE, N.V DN, DC 20037	v.	PETERSON, KENNETH E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	23

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	04
Advisory Action	09/073,825	TSUJIMOTO, SUSUMI	ال) ر
·	Examiner	Art Unit	***************************************
	Kenneth E Peterson	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	:s
THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE 1 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this appli : (1) a timely filed amendment wh peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply ich places the application	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of the ned statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extens the final Office action; or (2)	sion fee under as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a))			า
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) Method they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: The new claim is a new issue.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15,17-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten			
10. Other:	(),(= = 1.1.5) . apo. (10(0).	 2	
	ľ	KENNETH E. PETERSON REMARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)